## Sermon by Bishop Daniel Arichea Jr.,

Res i dent Bishop, Baguio Area

May 6, 2000

Text: Acts 6:1-7; 11:19-26

You look so dif fer ent from here. You look so vast and your faces look so small. This is not a usual con gre ga tion. This is only the sec ond General Conference I have at tended, so I am a novice compared to many of you. This is also my first and prob a bly my only op por tunity to speak at General Conference, so while I have the floor I will not give it up. I have also bad news for you, my wife was supposed to be here. Her name is Ruth, but she has not been able to come because of visa prob lems in the Phil ip pines. You Americans are terrible when it comes to visas for Filipinos. And so today I come to you as a Ruth-less bishop. Well, we don't have all day.

For the past week we have been concentrating on unity. The theme of our conference is, "We who are many are one body." And we have used the Ephesian text, especially chapter 4, for there is so much focus on unity. Probably it is good for us to remember Chapter 4:4-6 all the time, for the seven-fold unity of the church is emphasized. There is one body, one Lord, one Spirit, one hope, one Lord, one faith, one baptism, and finally one God who is Lord of all and above all and through all and in all.

Now, we would have wished that all this unity is al ways pres ent and vis i ble in the church. That would be heaven for us. But as you Amer i cans would say, "It ain't so." There are crisis situations that threaten, undermine, but hopefully, strengthen this unity. Now one of these cri sis sit u a tions is in chap ter 6 of the Book of Acts, which is our text for the morning. In this par tic u lar case, the prob lem was not earth shaking; it was not a prob lem of her esy or the ol ogy or im moral ity in the church. The prob lem was sim ply dry, or di nary. It was the prob lem of food. Some of the women in the church were be ing ne glected in the dis tri bu tion of food.

Now, as we look at this prob lem, though, I have the suspicion that the prob lem of food is simply a façade for deeper prob lems. First of all, the people neglected in the distribution of food were women. And you women in the audience, in the congregation, in the General Conference, would see this as an ex ample of violence against women, and rightly so.

There is, how ever, a much deeper prob lem: namely, the relationship between two groups in the church. Sure, the church started as a Jew ish move ment, and even at this time all the mem bers of the church were Jews. But now we are told there are two groups of Jews, one group being Hebrew-ori ented in terms of lan guage and culture, and the other group being Greek-oriented in terms of language and culture, and the Hebrew group is the dominant group. After all, they were the lead ers of the church, and the Greek-oriented

group felt dis crim i nated against. They were not part of the lead er ship, and they were not part of the de ci sion-mak ing.

So, here was the sit u a tion: a pos si ble cri sis within the church that could cost the church prob lems in its mis sion and min is try to the world. Now come the 12 apos tles who are the lead ers of the com mu nity of faith, and they are con fronted with a cri sis sit u a tion which needs cri sis man age ment. What should the lead ers of the church do in this kind of a sit u a tion? First of all, we need to pay at ten tion to what they could have done but did not do. They could have ig nored the prob lem sim ply as un im por tant and as sour grapes by a small group within the com mu nity of faith. We in Asia use this method a lot; we call it "the grace of time." If you wait long enough, the prob lem will go away and will be for got ten. The prob lem is, it does n't go away.

Or the apos tles could have solved the prob lem in a hi erarchical and dogmatic way by issuing a decree or a pronounce ment by tell ing the con gre gation, "This is what you must do." As a bishop in the church, I receive so many let ters from mem bers of the church, and I'm sure the other bish ops receive these let ters as well. These let ters are urging, prodding, even commanding bishops to come out with pronounce ments, authoritative decrees on their stand on is sues that are considered as life and death is sues in the church. And if we can only is sue those decrees, every thing will be solved. Well, the apost les did not is sue a decree, so why should we?

Or, the apos tles could have formed a task force or a commit tee to talk about the prob lem—headed by one of them, of course—to talk about the prob lem and solve the prob lem to the sat is fac tion of all. This would be the United Meth od ist way of solv ing it.

Now let us note what the apos tles did. First of all, they re ex am ined their pri or i ties. Con fronted with a cri sis in the church, they came to a greater aware ness of their main task as lead ers of the church within the com mu nity. Look at verses 2 and 4: "We should not give up preach ing God's mes sage in or der to serve at ta bles. We can spend the time pray ing and serving God by preaching." They con sidered their main task to be the proclamation of the gospel. They were spiritual leaders. Con fronted with a crisis they reex am ined their pri or i ties.

And you say our church, The United Meth od ist Church, is in cri sis? Well then, if it is in cri sis let us ex am ine our pri ori ties. Let us not allow any cri sis to un der mine our ef forts to be faith ful to our main task and mis sion as a church. Let us never major in mi nors.

2032 May 9, 2000

Sec ondly, the apos tles called a meeting, not sim ply of the ag grieved party, but all of the ac cused party, but of the whole congregation, the whole community of faith was called to meeting. This is prob a bly the first con gre ga tional meeting of Christians. Here we have democracy at work within the church. Here was an as sertion of the importance of the grass roots, the importance of the or dinary mem bers, the importance of lay peo ple within the church.

The church, after all, is a lay move ment. This is an as sertion of the im por tance of the pew and those who sit in the pews and, who, in their own way, sup port the work of the local churches and beyond, and without whom the church could never ex ist or sur vive.

Third, the apos tles is sued a sug ges tion to the com munity. The Greek word used here is "lo gos," word, which saying utterance, but in this case simply means a suggestion. The apos tles did not say "This is what you should do." The apos tles said "We sug gest this for your consider ation." And the suggestions of the apostles we are told in verse five "pleased every one." And they decided to put it into effect and they elected seven men as the lead ers of this new community.

Now let us look at what the...let us look at the so lu tion for awhile. There are two un for tu nate things about this so lution. First, it is rather un for tu nate that all seven lead ers selected were men. Would it have been good if one of them was a woman? It would have been the be gin ning of the so lution to the prob lem of the proper place of women within the church, in this early stage of the church. Would you have wished—sometimes I do—that the first Christian martyr was not a man named Ste phen but a woman named Steph anie? That would have been great.

Secondly, it is unfortunate that scholarship has taken this pas sage as the be gin ning of the Or der of Dea con in the church and that the seven men se lected were the first deacons. Some 35 years ago when I wrote my dis sertation on this topic, I, too, ad vo cated this position. I con fess to you I was wrong. The seven were not clergy. The seven were lay. They were lay mem bers of the church who, as the text says, were "full of the Spirit and of wis dom." There is al ways need for ded i cated and ed u cated laity as lead ers of the church.

Now, what is the sig nificance of ser vant? Be fore this time we have heard of 12 or 72. The num ber 12 stands or depicts a tra di tional Jew ish ap proach. It is a num ber that was ap pro pri ate when Christian com mu nity was pri mar ily Jewish, tra di tional Jew ish. But when the church be gan to move beyond the bound aries of tra di tional Ju da ism, it needed a new struc ture. And, there fore, the num ber seven stands for the new ap proach within the com mu nity of faith. It is a recognition that the Christian move ment could not sim ply remain within the context of one cul ture and one lan guage. By the very na ture of the mes sage that it proclaims, the Christian community had to recognize and accept diversity and in clusive ness as vital parts of its na ture.

Have we for got ten, per haps, "Go into all the world?" For God loves the world so much. The gos pel was not intended for only one group of peo ple but for all peo ples. And the Christian community formed out of that proclamation of the gos pel must reflect the diver sity and in clusive ness that is de manded by the gos pel mes sage. Let us also note that all seven were Greek-speaking members of the community. Not one of them came from the Hebrew group. They all came from the ag grieved party. This is very ob vi ous in the fact that all seven had Greek names. This development was, of course, a great sac ri fice for the He brew group. But you see, all of them were com mit ted to solv ing a prob lem that could un der mine the mis sion of the church and its wit ness to the world. And so this de sire for effective ness in mis sion led the ac cused party to consider sac rificing them selves for the sake of the greater good.

A fur ther obser vation: the solution led, of course, to representative de mocracy. Obviously it was not possible for the whole Christian community to be making decisions all the time. So instead of dealing directly with the problem, the community was given the task of electing its own representatives.

There are so many implications of this short pas sage for me, for you and for the whole church as we con sider the challenges that be set us in this day and age and even during this General Conference. For in stance, how do you deal with diversity within the community of faith? Often diversity is used to de fine who is in and who is out. But in Acts, the faith community saw diversity as an element that would enrich the believers, enrich the fellow ship, and as a tool for mis sion and evan gelism that opens up the church to more and more people with diverse languages, cultures, and eventually, and eventually, inevitably, diverse interpretations of the same gos pel mes sage and its application to individuals and to society in general.

The church could have be come mono lithic, you know, it could have be come a fel low ship of one lan guage. Then we would be read ing and speak ing He brew in much the same way that our Islamic brothers are reading and speaking Arabic. There would not have been any room for the Greek New Testament. Thanks to the apostles and to the early church, this did not hap pen. In stead of af firm ing only one lan guage, we can now af firm many lan guages and, in fact, affirm all lan guages of the world.

Lan guage is im por tant, not as a way of dom i nat ing people, but as an in stru ment of proc la mation of the gos pel of Jesus Christ. We should re joice! We should re joice that at this General Conference the delegates, your delegates represent so many lan guages, so many lan guage groups, and your action to provide ad e quate trans lation for all peoples here has affirmed both the importance of lan guage and the importance of every delegate in this General Conference.

I worked for the United Bi ble So ci eties for 26 years as a trans la tion con sul tant, and our experience in Bi ble trans lation is, that when ever the Bi ble is trans lated in one language,

any language, that language gains honor and prestige because it be comes an appropriate in strument for the proclamation of the gos pel. And peo ple be gin to take pride in their own language.

To day, the Bi ble is trans lated into over 2,200 lan guages. Can you imag ine that 2,200 groups of peo ple can lis ten and can read the gos pel mes sage in their own heart lan guage and are able to un der stand the gos pel mes sage? Not as some thing strange or for eign, but as some thing that re ally be comes incar nate in their midst.

The church could have be come mono lithic in terms of cul ture, but thanks to Acts 6, we can now af firm all cul tures. Cul ture is im por tant, not as a way of dom i nat ing peo ple but as an effective in stru ment in the proclamation of the gos pel mes sage in such a way that it be gins to affect peo ple's lives; touch them where they are, as they are, with out wait ing for them to be come some thing else. You see, the church could have been authoritarian and hierarchical inits leader ship, but thanks to Acts 6, we now can see what happens when the lead ers of the church trust the Christian community.

Subsequent events as reported in the Book of Acts proved that development; in Acts 6 were right on target. When per se cu tion came, as in Acts 11, it was the Greek-oriented Jews who were per se cuted. One of their lead ers was stoned to death. The Greek-speak ing Jews were dis persed. In Acts 11, we see them not in Jeru sa lem but in Antioch, the cen ter of the church, when it be gan to move into the Greek and Roman worlds and to be confronted with diversity of greater in ten sity and to face the chal lenges of lan guage and culture.

But the church has learned its les son and learned it well. Or has it? The gos pel mes sage is not threat ened by di ver sity. In stead, it is en riched. It is made more rel e vant and it becomes more ef fec tive. Lis ten my friends, this de sire, this tendency, to be come mono lithic is a temp ta tion in the church that co mes back again and again and again. We're all for unity, and unity is in terpreted as unifor mity, doing things the same way, say ing things the same way, be liev ing things in the same way. This is unity by groan ing. One of the great est fears I had in becoming a bishop—boy, I had a lot of fears—but one of the great est fears of be ing elected bishop was this pre con ceived idea that I had (I don't know where I got it) was a pre con ceived idea I had that all these bish ops come to meetings in their clerical vest and clerical collars, all look ing the same. I am glad I was wrong, for even a tie is uncom fort able for me.

Do you ever think that the bishops of the church are united in a mono lithic, uni form way? Let me tell you something that you al ready know about your bish ops. There is diver sity in na tion al ity. There are bish ops from Africa, from Eu rope, from the Phil ip pines, from Puerto Rico, from the United States, and even from Texas.

There is di ver sity in gen der, well, a little, be cause there are only seven women in the coun cil. But let me tell you, the seven women can outdo all of us men. There is di ver sity in

height. Bishop Looney is the tall est at 6'6". Some of us are not even five feet. There is di ver sity in weight. I'm not naming names here. I might lose some friends. More se ri ously, though, there is di ver sity in our per cep tion of the gos pel message and how this im pacts the church and its mis sion to the world. But that does n't mat ter. De spite all this di ver sity and be cause of this di ver sity there is one thing that we are sure of. We bish ops love the church. We love the church, as I am sure you do. And we trust the Christian com mu nity. We trust you, as I am sure you trust us. So to bor row an ex pres sion from Bishop Nacpil in the mag nificent Epis co pal Address, "Will you now join your bish ops in cele brating our di ver sity and in affirming our love, commitment, and dedication to Christ and his church?"

I think we have a lot to learn from Acts 6. The Christian com mu nity showed so much wis dom in what they did. They gave us a beau ti ful, won der ful model for our life and work to gether. So some how we would wish that Acts 6 would be the end of the story. But we go, we read on; we get to Acts 9, and Ananias does n't want to go to Saul. And we get to Acts 15, and the people in Je ru sa lem, the mother church, are now spread ing the news that non-Jews, Gentiles, should be circumcised before they can become Christians. That means that they have to be come Jews be fore they have to be come Chris tians. It does seem to me that con ver sion has two aspects, and we have for got ten the sec ond one. Con version has a ver ti cal as pect: by God's grace, God ac cepts us. But the hor i zon tal as pect is be cause God ac cepts us, we ac cept one an other. And Ananias, to be truly con verted, has to be converted to Christ and con verted to Saul. Of ten our con ver sion leads us away from people rather than towards people. Some times our con ver sion sets us apart from peo ple rather than making us a part of people. Some times our conversion leads us to a position of exclusion rather than a position of inclusion.

But aren't we glad that the model in Acts 6, trumphed again in Acts 15, the lead er ship of the church did not al low the com mu nity of faith to go back to a stance, the po si tion of exclusivism, but in steadempha sized a position of inclusion? There is room for di ver sity. There is room for dif fer ences as long as we hold on to the cen ter of our faith, namely, Je sus Christ our Lord. And, some how, I would wish with you that our church would truly be come in clusive: that some how all signs and symbols and expressions of exclusion would be removed from both our words and our actions so that we can truly dem on strate God's love for us and our love for one another and our love for all peoples and our love for all creation. To para phrase a pop u lar Grey hound Bus blurb, it is such a comfort for us to love and leave the judg ing to God.

And as I look at you now, you are the ful fill ment, the realization of this dream, this vision of unity and diversity—different colors, different attires, different nationalities, differentlan guages, different cultures, and lest I for get, different gen ders. I also cele brate with you the persons in our midst of delegates and representatives from non-United Method ist communions, Wesleyan and Method

2034 May 9, 2000

ist churches out side The United Meth od ist Church. They affirm for us the globality and in clu sive ness of our fel low ship as Meth od ists around the world. You and all of us in this Gen eral Con fer ence are a rep lica of what the church can be and what the church is and what the church can be come.

So what the writer of the book of Rev e la tion is talk ing about in Chap ter 7 (9-10). "Af ter this I looked," John writes, "and there was an enor mous crowd. No one could count the peo ple. They were from every race, every tribe, every nation, every lan guage. And they stood in front of the throne and of the Lamb, dressed in white robes, with palm branches in their hands. And they were call ing out in a loud voice, 'Sal vation belongs to our God who sits on the throne and from the Lamb'."

A stanza and the chorus of a hymn expresses much better what I am try ing to say to you this morning. I wish my wife were here so she could sing it to you, but she's not, and I won't sing it to you. But I should tell you that be fore I got married I thought I was a singer. I'm not saying any more. Well, any way, here is the hymn: "God of many col ors, God of many signs, you have made us dif fer ent, bless ing many kinds. As the old ways dis ap pear, may your love cast out our fear. Many gifts, one Spirit, one love known in many ways. In our dif fer ence is bless ing, from di ver sity we praise one Giver, one Lord, one Spirit, one Word known in many ways, hallowing our days. For the Giver, for the gifts, praise, praise, praise!" I ask you, where are you, where are we in all of this?

Think about it. Amen.